

Motivation

- Since GFC, many countries tightened capital requirements to strengthen the resilience of their domestic banking sector
- Not clear how domestic regulatory changes spillover to other countries
- This is especially relevant for monetary unions

Research Questions

- What are the **cross-border effects** of capital requirement changes?
- Which economic and institutional features affect the size of the spillovers?
- What are the implications for the **optimal level** of capital requirements?

This paper

Model of MU with 2 (symmetric) countries with:

- Domestic and cross-border bank lending
- Risky financial intermediation
- Domestic capital requirement changes
- International institutional feautures:
- Reciprocity of macroprudential policy measures
- Deposit insurance scheme (national vs common)

Main Results

- Capital requirement changes entail cross-country spillovers
- <u>Channels</u>: trade & bank lending & bank solvency
- Size and sign of net spillovers affected by • Reciprocation of measures
- **Deposit insurance scheme** (national vs common)
- <u>International coordination</u> in general desirable
- Losses from uncoordinated decisions small if spillovers offset one another
- Losses larger for some instruments or institutional settings

Bank Capital Regulation in a Monetary Union

Luigi Falasconi¹ Caterina Mendicino² Kalin Nikolov² Dominik Supera³

¹University of Pennsylvania ²European Central Bank ³Columbia Business School

Banking Sector Problem

- Two types of banks j: one that lends locally Land one that lends abroad EX
- Bank j maximizes the NPV of the bankers' equity conditional on not defaulting

 $\max_{k_{j,t},d_{j,t}} \mathbb{E}_t \left[\Lambda_{B,t+1} \max \left\{ \omega_{j,t+1} R_{K,j,t+1} q_{j,t} k_{j,t} - R_{D,t} d_{j,t}, 0 \right\} \right] - \nu_t E Q_{j,t}$ subject to

> $[BC] \quad q_{j,t}k_{j,t} = d_{j,t} + EQ_{j,t},$ $[LC] \quad EQ_{j,t} \ge \phi_j q_{j,t} k_{j,t}.$

- Key institutional feature in capital regulation of cross border banks:
- Under reciprocity, cross-border loans subject to **host** country CR: $\phi_{EX}^* = \phi_L$
- Without reciprocity, cross-border loans subject to **home** country CR $\phi_{EX}^* = \phi_L^*$

Capital Req. without Reciprocity



• Trade spillovers (-): • Lower supply of Home goods

• Bank lending spillovers (-):

- Foreign banks increase international lending
- But Home banks reduce cross-country lending



(a) Baseline (w/o Reciprocity)

- With reciprocity: offsetting negative and positive spillovers • Smaller welfare cost from non-cooperative choices
- Sharing of DI payments, increases bank solvency spillovers \rightarrow net cross-country spillovers become positive!

Cross-border Lending

• Capital services S_t are a CES of loans that come from Home $K_{L,t}$ and Foreign capital $K_{IM,t}$

$$\min_{L,t,K_{IM,t}} \quad r_{L,t}K_{L,t} + r_{IM,t}K_{IM,t},$$
s.t.
$$S_t = \left[\chi_k^{1/\gamma_k} K_{L,t}^{\frac{\gamma_k - 1}{\gamma_k}} + (1 - \chi_k)^{1/\gamma_k} K_{IM,t}^{\frac{\gamma_k - 1}{\gamma_k}} \right]^{\frac{\gamma_k}{\gamma_k - 1}}$$

• Foreign capital comes from Foreign banks • Home capital comes from Home banks and HHs

$$K_{L,t} = K_{B,L,t} + K_{H,t}$$

• $\gamma_k = 1.5$ following Herreno (2023) and χ_k matches the share of cross border loans in EU (2003-2023)

$$\frac{K_{B,EX,t}}{K_{B,EX,t} + K_{B,L,t}} = 10\%$$

Capital Req. with Reciprocity



• Bank solvency spillover (+): Foreign international banks become safer \Rightarrow offsetting positive spillover!







• Home suffers most of the cost in terms of bank intermediation

Reciprocity and Common DI



Common DI changes the way costs and benefits are

• Half the benefit accrues to the Foreign country

Conclusion

We study **cross-border spillovers of CRs** • Without Reciprocity: Spillovers always negative • Trade spillover (-): lower supply of domestic goods also consumed abroad

• Bank lending spillover (-): lower supply of foreign loans by domestic banks

• Non-cooperatively set CRs too high

• With Reciprocity: Partially offsetting spillovers • Solvency spillover (+): higher CRs in one country makes the subsidiaries of foreign banks safer too

• Non-cooperatively and cooperatively set CRs close

• Common DI creates very strong positive spillovers

• Net spillovers always positive also (w/o reciprocity) • Non-cooperatively set CRs too low

Contact Information

• Name Luigi Falasconi • Email: luigif@sas.upenn.edu • Phone: +1 (215) 459 6222